



## SPACE COAST TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

### LIST OF PROJECT PRIORITIES APPLICATION

Please provide all information requested. Information will be used to calculate and provide the quantitative score used in the ranking of priority projects.

**DATE:** \_\_\_\_\_

Data resources to complete application are available on SCTPO website:

<https://www.spacecoasttpo.com/what-we-do/projects/project-priorities> Excerpts from State of the System Report, functional classification of roadways, traffic volumes, capacities, etc. are all compiled into SCTPO Application Resources document.

#### **Project Information**

Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_ If *other*, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

Roadway Name: \_\_\_\_\_

From/At: \_\_\_\_\_ To: \_\_\_\_\_

Phase requesting to be funded:

Study  PD&E  Design  Right-of-way  Construction

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Select which phases, if any, have already been completed:

Study  PD&E  Design  Right-of-way  Construction

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

#### **Agency Information**

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: \_\_\_\_\_



**P. Pre-Screening Criteria**

1. Is submitting agency ready to implement project within the next five (5) years?

Yes  No\*

*\*If project is not ready, it will not be considered for priority ranking.*

2. Is project included in current adopted Cost Feasible Long Range Transportation Plan?

Yes  No\* Page number in LRTP project listed on: \_\_\_\_\_

*\*If project is not in LRTP it is not eligible to be submitted.*

3. If project is a roadway project, is it functionally classified?  Yes  No

List functional classification: \_\_\_\_\_ (see Resource Packet)

4. Does project have support? Has it been approved by the submitting agency council/commission?  Yes  No

**Documentation that project is part of an approved CIP or other plan that has been approved by council OR a specific resolution or motion supporting project is required.**

5. Is the agency able or willing to provide local match/funding?  Yes  No

If yes, please provide percentage: \_\_\_\_\_%

6. Will the project need to be implemented via the FDOT LAP program?  Yes  No

If yes, project estimate, must be over \$250,000  Yes  No

If yes, is the submitting applicant LAP certified?  Yes  No

If submitting applicant is NOT LAP certified, will it be seeking project specific LAP certification?  Yes  No

If applicant is not seeking or able to be LAP certified, which local agency is LAP certified and willing to administer project? \_\_\_\_\_

**\*\*\*Applicant must provide written documentation of agreement with administering agency or a MOU\*\*\***

If documentation not available at time of project submittal please provide date when documentation will be provided. Date: \_\_\_\_\_



7. Please describe any public involvement activities conducted regarding the need/desire for this project.

---

---

**A. Safety**



1. Does project establish a new vulnerable road user facility? This includes new designated bicycle lanes, sidewalks, or trail utilizing the most current FDM standards.

Yes (4 pts)

No (0 pts)

Points:

2. Is project on a corridor with speed limit 35 mph or greater? Project would provide safety improvements on corridor with a speed limit of 35 mph or greater, such as separated/buffered bicycle lane; min 8-foot sidewalk/multi-use trail; HAWK's; RRFB's; mid-block crossings; installation of medians; improved travel time reliability, etc. (Off road trail projects default to 20 mph) *(see Resource Packet)*

Yes (2 pts)

No (0 pts)

Points:

List speed limit: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Does project address the Vision Zero High Injury Network? Project is on the latest Vision Zero High Injury Network and includes safety improvements or addresses a safety issue. *(see Resource Packet)*

Yes (6 pts)

No (0 pts)

Points:

4. Is the project on a 4 or 5 lane *(see Resource Packet)*, undivided roadway with no median? This type of facility has been documented to have the higher number of crashes, especially for vulnerable road users.

Yes (2 pts)

No (0 pts)

Points:



**A. Safety Continued**

5. Is project in latest State of the System Report top 25 list for frequency, motorcyclist, crash severity or bicycle/pedestrian? *(see Resource Packet)*

Yes (6 pts)

No (0 pts)

Points:

**TOTAL SAFETY POINTS:**

**B. Transportation and Land Use**



1. Is project corridor nearing, at or over capacity (V/C)? Addresses monitoring of congestion on system. Corridors are considered congested when v/c is .85 or above. Those over 1.0 are over capacity. V/C scores provided in SOS. *(see Resource Packet)*

AADT Volume: \_\_\_\_\_

Capacity (MAV): \_\_\_\_\_

V/C = \_\_\_\_\_

V/C > 1.0 (3 pts)

V/C > .75 (1 pts)

V/C > .85 (2 pts)

V/C < .75 (0 pts)

Points:

2. Does project improve capacity? Only for vehicles. Project includes intersection or corridor improvement such as widening; new or additional turn lane; additional queue length. Project may also be a new roadway offering alternative route with better reliability alleviating congestion on another corridor.

Yes (4 points)

No (0 pts)

Points:

3. Does project have an economic impact in that it is within or connects to an existing or planned activity center? Activity centers typically will include attractors that provide employment opportunities. Projects that improve access to or increase mobility options to get to these centers have a direct economic impact. Data source will utilize the Existing Activity Centers and Major Destinations figure included in the most recently adopted SCTPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan. Projects directly connecting or improving access to airports, seaport, spaceports or intermodal hubs. Planned Activity Centers must be identified in a formal document. *(see Resource Packet)*

Ports (4 pts)

Rural (2 pts)

Downtown (4 pts)

None (0 pts)

Suburban (3 pts)

Points:



**B. Transportation and Land Use Continued**

4. Does project improve direct access to a community asset? *(see Resource Packet)*

# Parks = \_\_\_\_\_ # Education Facilities = \_\_\_\_ # Total Assets = \_\_\_\_\_

# Community Centers = \_\_ # Libraries = \_\_\_\_\_

High 3+ (6 pts)                       Medium 2 (3 pts)                       Low 1 or less (0 pts)

Points:

5. Would project enhance access to a high tourism area? (Check all that apply)

Beaches                                       Port Canaveral                                       Brevard Zoo

Merritt Island Nat'l Wildlife Refuge/Canaveral Nat'l Seashore                       Kennedy Space Center

Yes (3 pts)                                       No (0 pts)                                      Points:

**TOTAL TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE POINTS:**

**C. Resiliency & Sustainability**



1. Will the project improve a designated evacuation route? Corridor either is an evacuation route or directly connects to one - mapped corridors are in SOS. *(see Resource Packet)*

Yes (2 pts)                                       No (0 pts)                                      Points:

2. Will project improve or maintain drainage, stormwater, water quality? Project would consider sea level rise; address erosion and or sedimentation.

**Improves** = Removes direct runoff into any water body; treats stormwater; increases circulation/water quality; reduces erosion

**Maintenance** = Repairs/updates existing stormwater/retention areas

**None** = Project will not improve or maintain any water body or treatment system

Improves (7 pts)                                       None (0 pts)  
 Maintenance (4 pts)                                      Points:



**C. Resiliency & Sustainability**

3. Will project improve transportation choices within a designated TD/EJ Area? Project is located within a Transportation Disadvantaged (TD) Population Area with a 1.8 score or higher as adopted in the Transportation Resiliency Master Plan. (see Resource Packet)

Yes (2 pts)

No (0 pts)

Points:

4. Is the project identified on the Transportation RMP Vulnerability and Criticality Analysis? Corridors that have a total higher score are prioritized based on corridor vulnerability to all five shocks and stressors and criticality of corridor. Projects should improve the resiliency of the corridor. (see Resource Packet)

<30 Points = 7

20-29 Points = 5

10 - 19 Points = 3

>10 Points = 0

<30 Points (7 pts)

20-29 Points (5 pts)

10-19 Points (3 pts)

>10 Points (0 pts)

Points:

5. Does project improve bridge or causeway condition? Project includes rehabilitation or replacement of an existing bridge or causeway.

Yes (2 pts)

No (0 pts)

Points:

**TOTAL RESILIENCY & SUSTAINABILITY POINTS:**

**D. Innovation**



1. Does project utilizes advanced technologies referenced in ITS Master Plan? Examples include: CCTV, DMS, wireless or fiber communications, connected signals, PedSafe

Yes (5 pts)

No (0 pts)

Points:



**D. Innovation Continued**

2. Will project improve freight reliability? Project will address signal timing/efficiency of movement of goods. Project must be on a SIS, or Arterial Roadway. See functional classification for eligibility. (see Resource Packet)

Yes (3 pts)                       None (0 pts)                      Points: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Does project include unique strategy solution such as roundabout, road diet, etc.?  
**Planning Projects:** Will utilize ICE, context classification, road diet, roundabout or other unique solution during evaluation.  
**Design/CST Phases:** Project is including design of innovative solution: diverging diamond interchanges; roundabouts; HAWKS; RRFB's; road diet; etc.

Yes (5 pts)                       No (0 pts)                      Points: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Will project improve travel time reliability? Project must include new or upgraded signal timing technology or other use of technology that would result in improved reliability of travel time, such as installation of message boards.

Yes (7 pts)                       No (0 pts)                      Points: \_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL INNOVATION POINTS:**

**E. Multi-Modal**



1. Is project included in the priority list of the SCTPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan? Project must be in BPMP, Table 14, Final List of Priority Corridors. If not on priority list, not eligible for points. (see Resource Packet)

Yes (5 pts)                       No (0 pts)                      Points: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Is project part of a regional or SCTPO showcase trail network, or provides direct connection to? (see Resource Packet)

**Trail** = Project/corridor is on OGT or SCTPO showcase trail network  
**Connector** = Project provides direct connection to a trail

Trail (3 pts)                       None (0 pts)  
 Connector (1 pt)                      Points: \_\_\_\_\_



**E. Multi-Modal Continued**

3. Will project improve bicycle, pedestrian, or trail facility? Project would establish a bicycle lane, sidewalk or both. A trail or a complete street project would be considered both; OR Project updates existing facility to current design standards.

- Bicycle (2 pts)
- Pedestrian (2 pts)
- Both/Trail/Complete Street (5 pts)
- Neither (0 pts)

Points:

4. Will project include a new or improved multi-modal station, transit facility, bus stop or shelter? Project includes construction of new modal/transit facility (transfer station, maintenance, admin facility) or new ADA bus stop(s) would be established as part of project. Project could also include updating existing bus stops to be ADA compliant.

*(see Resource Packet)*

- Yes (7 pts)
- No (0 pts)

Points:

**TOTAL MULTI-MODAL POINTS:**

**TOTAL POINTS (from all five categories) = \_\_\_\_\_**

**Please check that you have attached SEPERATELY AS A PDF the following REQUIRED documents.**

- FDOT Application
- Completed budget table or estimate
- Project Location Map

**ALL PROJECT APPLICATION FORMS ARE DUE TO THE SPACE COAST TPO OFFICES BY MONDAY, MARCH 13, 2026!!**

**Submit by E-mail to**

[Sarah.Kraum@SCTPO.com](mailto:Sarah.Kraum@SCTPO.com)